

Application No.: 10/680,049

Docket No.: 103488-0021

REMARKS

This is a supplement to the response filed on December 27, 2004, and the Examiner interview on February 17, 2005. Reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Restriction Requirement

In view of the Examiner's telephone restriction requirement on February 18, 2005, the Applicants elect claims 1-10 and 42. Claim 42 is included in this group with the understanding that the Examiner believes it to be in the same group as claims 1-10. Claims 11-20 are canceled without prejudice (also claims 21-41 previously canceled being canceled without prejudice).

Interview Summary

The undersigned thanks the Examiners for the courtesy extended for conducting the telephone interview on February 17, 2005. Present were Examiner Alam, Examiner Ehichioya, as well as David Powsner and Jaime Burke from Nutter McClennen & Fish LLP. Discussed were the resource description framework (RDF) protocol, as were the distinctions between the claimed invention and the references Conklin, U.S. Patent No. 6,415,283, and Sokol, U.S. Patent No. 6,405,211. The Applicants maintain that the claimed subject matter is patentable as it previously stood. For expediency, however, they agreed to amend the claims as indicated above. Per the follow-up telephone call from Examiner Alam, on February 18, 2005, the claims as agreed would be allowable. During this follow-up telephone call on February 18, 2005, a restriction requirement was issued.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Application No.: 10/680,049

Docket No.: 103488-0021

Dated: 2/18/05

Respectfully submitted,

By

David J. Powsner

Registration No.: 31,868

NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP

World Trade Center West

155 Seaport Boulevard

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2604

(617) 439-2000

(617) 310-9000 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant